

Gary M. Hoffman (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 Kenneth W. Brothers (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
 1825 Eye Street, NW
 Washington, DC 20006-5403
 Telephone: (202) 420-2200
 Facsimile: (202) 420-2201

Edward A. Meilman (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
 1177 Avenue of the Americas
 New York, NY 10036-2714
 Telephone: (212) 227-6500
 Facsimile: (212) 227-6501

Jeffrey B. Demain, State Bar No. 126715
 Jonathan Weissglass, State Bar No. 185008
 ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM, RUBIN & DEMAINE
 177 Post Street, Suite 300
 San Francisco, CA 94108
 Telephone: (415) 421-7151
 Facsimile: (415) 362-8064

Attorneys for
 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

SYNOPSISYS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C-03-2289-MJJ (EMC)

CASE NO. C-03-4669-MJJ (EMC)

RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al.,

Defendants

**DECLARATION OF KENNETH W.
 BROTHERS IN SUPPORT OF RICOH'S
 MOTION TO QUASH DEFENDANTS'
 UNTIMELY AND OVERBROAD
 DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND SUBPOENAS**

1 Kenneth W. Brothers declares as follows:

2 1. My name is Kenneth W. Brothers, an attorney with the law firm of Dickstein Shapiro
3 LLP, counsel for Ricoh Company Limited. I am over the age of 21 and am competent to make this
4 declaration. Based on my personal knowledge and information, I hereby declare to all the facts in this
5 declaration.

6 2. On August 14, 2006, defendants served three subpoenas on the University of Michigan,
7 Yale University, and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology requesting documents relating to Dr.
8 Papaefthymiou. Defendants' counsel also sent me a letter with additional discovery requests relating to
9 Dr. Papaefthymiou.

10 3. Upon receipt of the discovery request and subpoenas, I requested a meet and confer.
11 Defendants' counsel responded with a refusal to meet and confer until after the return date.

12 4. Judge Chen had previously issued specific procedures prior to presenting discovery
13 issues, and also had endorsed informal joint letters. On August 15, I telephoned Judge Chen's clerk with
14 a procedural question of how to proceed – whether by joint letter or by formal ex parte motion – given
15 the Court's prior guidance. Judge Chen had also had his clerk facilitate and participate in meet and
16 confers between counsel. Judge Chen's clerk asked me to get defendants' counsel on the phone, which I
17 did. Judge Chen's clerk advised defendants' counsel that that Magistrate Judge Chen would be
18 unavailable between August 17 and 27, and would be unable to hear an ex parte motion or merits motion
19 until he returned. Judge Chen's clerk suggested that the parties agree to extend the return date of their
20 subpoenas until after Judge Chen returned. I agreed to this proposal on Ricoh's behalf, but defendants'
21 counsel refused to so agree. Judge Chen's clerk advised counsel for the parties that, in the absence of
22 such an agreement, Ricoh would need to file a formal motion to quash and an ex parte motion to shorten
23 time, and that it likely would be forwarded to Judge Jenkins.

24 5. After the conference call with Judge Chen's clerk, defendants' counsel advised me that
25 defendants continued to refuse to extend the return date of the subpoenas, and that defendants insisted
26 that the matter be presented in a motion to quash and an ex parte motion to expedite.

27 6. Fact discovery in this case closed on May 31, 2006, and expert discovery is set to close
28 on August 18, 2006.

1 7. The defendants have been aware of Dr. Papaefthymiou's retention since May 2006 and
2 received a copy of his curriculum vitae at that time.

3 8. Defendants have been in possession of Dr. Papaefthymiou's expert report in this case
4 since June 24, 2006. The report again included Dr. Papaefthymiou's curriculum vitae, and a wealth of
5 professional background information.

6 9. As mutually agreed between the parties with respect to all testifying experts, Dr.
7 Papaefthymiou produced information listed on his curriculum vitae that was in his possession.

8 10. In connection with Dr. Papaefthymiou's deposition, the parties mutually agreed that
9 defendants would conduct a one day deposition, and defendants took this deposition on August 11,
10 2006.

11 11. I understand from one of my colleagues that at least two of the universities will not be
12 producing any material by defendants' Friday deadline.

13 12. Defendants and Synopsys caused one of Ricoh's consulting experts, Dr. Thomas, to
14 withdraw as an expert for Ricoh. Synopsys revoked the membership of another Ricoh consultant, Mr.
15 David Black, in a Synopsys users group after it learned that he was consulting for Ricoh.

16 13. Defendants have had their opportunity to seek discovery from and to depose Dr.
17 Papaefthymiou, and have shown no basis, other than harassment, for these new requests.

18 14. Ricoh believes that defendants, having repeatedly failed to delay the trial through their
19 motion practice, are similarly attempting to delay the trial through the current requests.

20 15. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of an August 14, 2006, letter from
21 Denise De Mory to Kenneth Brothers.

22 16. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of an August 14, 2006, subpoena
23 to the University of Michigan.

24 17. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of an August 14, 2006, subpoena
25 to Yale University.

26 18. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of an August 14, 2006, subpoena
27 to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

1 19. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of an August 14, 2006, email
2 exchange between Kenneth Brothers and Ethan Andelman, *et al.*

3
4 Dated: August 16, 2006

RICOH COMPANY, LTD.

5 By: /s/ Kenneth W. Brothers

6 Jeffrey B. Demain, State Bar No. 126715
Jonathan Weissglass, State Bar No. 185008
7 ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM,
RUBIN & DEMAINE
8 177 Post Street, Suite 300
9 San Francisco, CA 94108
Telephone: (415) 421-7151
10 Facsimile: (415) 362-8064

Gary M. Hoffman (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Kenneth W. Brothers (*Pro Hac Vice*)
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-1526
Telephone: (202) 420-2200
Facsimile: (202) 420-2201

Edward A. Meilman (*Pro Hac Vice*)
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036-2714
Telephone: (212) 277-6500
Facsimile: (212) 277-6501

11
12
13
14 Attorneys for
15 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.

HOWREY
LLP525 Market Street
Suite 3600
San Francisco, CA 94105-2708
www.howrey.com**Denise M. De Mory**
Partner

T 415.848.4983

F 415.848.4999

demoryd@howrey.com

File 06816.0060.000000

August 14, 2006

VIA PDFKenneth W. Brothers, Esq.
Dickstein Shapiro LLP
1825 Eye Street NW
Washington, DC 20006-5403**Re: *Synopsys v. Ricoh Company, Ltd.,*
 Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)
 Ricoh Company, Ltd. v. Aeroflex, Inc., et al.,
 Case No. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC)**

Dear Mr. Brothers:

I write to request additional discovery related to Ricoh's expert Dr. Marios Papaefthymiou. Dr. Papaefthymiou has taught many computer and electrical engineering-related classes at Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Yale University, and the University of Michigan. We request production of documents and things related to Dr. Papaefthymiou's teaching of classes and research activities at those institutions.

In particular, we request all course syllabi, handouts, outlines, digests, lecture notes, presentations, computer code, and demonstrative software and hardware created for all courses that Dr. Papaefthymiou has taught at the university level. For example, Dr. Papaefthymiou's curriculum vitae states that he has authored course digests for "Computer Systems" and "Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits" classes that he taught at Yale and an "Advanced Algorithms" class that he taught at Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Dr. Papaefthymiou also created an instructional RISC microprocessor implemented in Verilog for the "Computer Systems" class, which we request be produced. Additionally, we request all invention disclosures submitted to the University of Michigan Technology Transfer Office by Dr. Papaefthymiou and all theses and technical reports prepared by Dr. Papaefthymiou while he was a student at Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Additionally, although it appears that Dr. Papaefthymiou generally has his lecture notes posted on his University of Michigan webpage, the links appear to have been disabled. For example, the webpage contains links for EECS 370 Introduction to Computer Organization, EECS 478 Logic Circuit Synthesis and Optimization, and EECS 598 Computer-Aided Design of Embedded Systems that do not function properly. Please provide these course materials forthwith considering that they are unavailable via Dr. Papaefthymiou's webpage.

Kenneth W. Brothers, Esq.

August 14, 2006

Page 2

Our request is not limited solely to the above examples, however, and encompasses all materials concerning Dr. Papaefthymiou's teaching of computer and electrical engineering-related classes. Such materials are relevant for understanding and assessing the bases of Dr. Papaefthymiou's opinions, and we are entitled to their production as a result.

Please procure from Dr. Papaefthymiou all materials responsive to this request, and produce them as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Denise M. De Mory
Denise M. De Mory

1 Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360)
Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076)
2 Ethan B. Andelman (SBN 209101)
Jaclyn C. Fink (SBN 217913)
3 HOWREY LLP
525 Market Street, Suite 3600
4 San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 848-4900
5 Facsimile: (415) 848-4999

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC.
and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,
7 AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX
8 GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL
CORP., MATROX TECH, INC., and
9 AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI
SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
17 ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS LTD., MATROX
GRAPHICS INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL
18 CORP., MATROX TECH, INC., AND
AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.

19 Defendants.

20 SYNOPSYS, INC.,

21 Plaintiff,

22 vs.

23 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

24 Defendant.

Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)

Case No. C03-02289 MJJ (EMC)

**NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO THE
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN**

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:


2 YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45, Plaintiff
3 Synopsys, Inc. has served the University of Michigan c/o Donica Thomas Varner, Assistant General
4 Counsel, the attached subpoena for production of documents.

5 The University is required to produce documents in its custody, possession or control specified in
6 Attachment A to the subpoena by 10:00 a.m. CST on Friday, August 18, 2006 at American Reprographics
7 Systems, 660 Woodward Avenue, Suite 610, Detroit, Michigan, 48226, Telephone: (313) 965-5090.

8 Dated: August 14, 2006

HOWREY LLP

9
10 By: _____


Matthew F. Greinert
Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS
Defendants AEROFLEX
INCORPORATED, AMI
SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD.,
MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX
INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX
TECH, INC., and AEROFLEX
COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) ss.:
 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO)

I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 525 Market Street, Suite 3600, San Francisco, California 94105.

On August 14, 2006 I served on the interested parties in said action the within:

NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

by causing said document to be sent by Electronic Mail on August 14, 2006 to the email addresses indicated for the parties listed below and by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as stated below and causing such envelope(s) to be delivered as follows:

Gary M. Hoffman, Esq.
HoffmanG@dsmo.com
 Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky, LLP
 2101 L Street, N.W.
 Washington, DC 20037-1526

Jeffrey Demain, Esq.
jdemain@altshulerberzon.com
 Altshuler, Berzon, Nussbaum, Rubin & Demain
 177 Post Street, Suite 300
 San Francisco, CA 94108

Facsimile No.: (202) 887-0689

Facsimile No.: (415) 362-8064

Edward A. Meilman, Esq.
MeilmanE@dsmo.com
 Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky, LLP
 1177 Avenue of the Americas
 New York, NY 10036-2714


Facsimile No.: (212) 896-5471

☒ (OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) on August 14, 2006 by depositing in a box or other facility regularly maintained by Federal Express, an express service carrier, or delivering to a courier or driver authorized by said express service carrier to receive documents, a true copy of the foregoing document in sealed envelopes or packages designated by the express service carrier, addressed as stated above, with fees for overnight delivery paid or provided for and causing such envelope(s) to be delivered by said express service carrier on.

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made and that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 14, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

James M. James
 (Type or print name)


 (Signature)

Issued by the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

RICOH COMPANY, LTD

V.

AEROFLEX, INCORPORATED, et al.

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

Case Number: ¹ C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)
(Pending in the US District Court for
the Northern District of California)

TO: DONICA THOMAS VARNER, Esq., Assitant General Counsel,
on behalf of UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
4010 Fleming Building
503 Thompson Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case.

PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM
	DATE AND TIME

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition in the above case.

PLACE OF DEPOSITION	DATE AND TIME
---------------------	---------------


☒ YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the place, date, and time specified below (list documents or objects):
SEE ATTACHMENT A

PLACE American Reprographics Systems, attn: Jim Higgins 660 Woodward Avenue, Suite 610, Detroit, Michigan 48226, (313) 965-5090	DATE AND TIME August 18, 2006, 10:00 am CST
--	--

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the date and time specified below.

PREMISES	DATE AND TIME
----------	---------------

Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).

ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT) Attorney for Defendant 	DATE August 14, 2006
---	-------------------------

ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER
Matthew F. Greinert, HOWREY LLP, 525 Market Street, Suite 3600, San Francisco, CA 94105; Telephone: (415) 848-4900

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D on next page)

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

PROOF OF SERVICE

DATE	PLACE
SERVED:	
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)	MANNER OF SERVICE
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)	TITLE

DECLARATION OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.

Executed on _____

DATE

SIGNATURE OF SERVER

ADDRESS OF SERVER

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D:**(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.**

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d) (2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect and copy materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded.

(3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it

(i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance,

(ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c) (3) (B) (iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend

trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held, or

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or

(ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena, or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

(1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.

(2) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

ATTACHMENT A

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 and as directed in the subpoena attached hereto, you are to produce all documents and things within the scope of the following definitions and descriptions that are within your possession, custody, or control. A Protective Order has been entered in this case by the United States District Court for the Northern District of California and is attached as Attachment B. Included in the Protective Order are provisions for the protection of confidential information produced by a third party. With respect to documents and things withheld under a claim of privilege, you are required under Rule 45 to describe the nature of the documents and things withheld in a manner sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claims.

DEFINITIONS

1. The terms “you,” and “your,” mean, without limitation, the University of Michigan, including without limitation all of its subsidiaries, parents, departments and affiliates, and all past or present directors, officers, agents, representatives, employees, students, consultants, attorneys, entities acting in joint-venture or partnership relationships with the University of Michigan and others acting on behalf of the University of Michigan.
2. As used herein, the word “document” means the original and each non-identical copy of any written, printed, typed, recorded, computerized, electronic, taped, graphic, or other matter, in whatever form, whether in final or draft, including but not limited to all materials that constitute “writings,” “recordings,” “photographs,” “source code” or “executable code” within the broadest meaning of Rule 1001 of the Federal Rules of Evidence and all materials that constitute “documents” within the broadest meaning of Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The word “document” includes, without limitation, printed matter, electronic mail, materials stored on computer hard drives, diskettes, tapes, any other computer media, and any other information stored magnetically, optically or in any electronic medium and/or form.

3. As used herein, “person” means any individual, firm, partnership, corporation, proprietorship, association, governmental body, or any other organization or entity.

4. As used herein, “communication” includes, without limitation, communications by whatever means transmitted (i.e., whether oral, written, electronic or other methods used), as well as any note, memorandum or other record thereof.

5. The terms “regarding, referring or relating to” and “concerning” mean reflecting, concerning, containing, pertaining, referring, relating to, indicating, showing, describing, evidencing, discussing, mentioning, embodying or computing.

6. Whenever the singular is used, it shall also be taken to include the plural, and vice versa. Whenever the conjunctive is used, it shall also be taken to include the disjunctive, and vice versa.

INSTRUCTIONS

The following instructions apply to each of the requests for documents set forth herein:

1. Please produce entire documents, including, but not limited to, attachments, enclosures, cover letters, memoranda, and appendices.

2. Pursuant to Rule 26(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, these requests for documents shall be deemed continuous up to and following the trial of this proceeding such that any documents or things requested herein which is either discovered by you or comes within your possession, custody or control subsequent to your initial responses hereto but prior to the final conclusion of this case should be produced in a supplemental response to these Document Requests immediately upon its discovery or receipt by you or your counsel.

3. If any document is withheld under a claim of privilege, in order that the Court and the parties may determine the validity of the claim of privilege, please provide a privilege log identifying each document withheld, including

- a. The type of document;
- b. The approximate date, and manner of recording, creating or otherwise preparing the document;
- c. The subject matter of the document;

- d. The name and organizational position of the person(s) who produced the document,
- e. The name and organizational position of the person(s) who received a copy of the document, or to whom the document was disclosed; and
- f. The claimed grounds on which the document is being withheld and facts sufficient to show the basis for each claim of privilege.

4. If you object to any part of a request for documents and refuse to produce documents responsive to that part, state your objection and respond to the remaining portion of that request. If you object to the scope or time period of a request for documents, state your objection and respond to the request for documents for the scope or time period you believe is appropriate.

5. Please produce all documents in the order in which they are kept in the ordinary course of business, and in their original file folders, binders, covers or containers, or facsimile thereof.

6. Any document bearing any changes, including, but not limited to, markings, handwritten notation, or other differences, that are not a part of the original text, or any reproduction thereof, is to be considered a separate document for purposes of responding to the following document requests. English translations of partial translations of foreign language documents should also be considered separate documents.

7. If a requested document is in a language other than English, please produce both the original and any existing English translation thereof.

8. If any of the following requests for documents cannot be responded to in full after exercising due diligence to secure the requested documents, please so state and respond to the extent possible, specifying your inability to respond to the remainder and stating whatever information you have regarding, referring or relating to the unanswered portions. If your response is qualified in any particular manner, set forth the details of such qualification.

9. Please produce hard copies of electronic records or produce computerized information in an intelligible format with a description of the system from which it was derived sufficient to permit rendering the materials intelligible.

DOCUMENT REQUESTS

Request No.1:

All documents regarding, referring or relating to Dr. Marios Papaefthymiou's teaching of a course titled "Introduction to Computer Organization" (EECS 370) in the Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department at the University of Michigan, including but not limited to, course syllabi, handouts, outlines, digests, lecture notes, presentations, computer code, and demonstrative software and hardware created for the course.

Request No. 2:

All documents regarding, referring or relating to Dr. Marios Papaefthymiou's teaching of a course titled "Logic Circuit Synthesis and Optimization" (EECS 478) in the Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department at the University of Michigan, including but not limited to, course syllabi, handouts, outlines, digests, lecture notes, presentations, computer code, and demonstrative software and hardware created for the course.

Request No. 3:

All documents regarding, referring or relating to Dr. Marios Papaefthymiou's teaching of a course titled "Computer-Aided Design of Embedded Systems" in the Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department at the University of Michigan, including but not limited to, course syllabi, handouts, outlines, digests, lecture notes, presentations, computer code, and demonstrative software and hardware created for the course.

Request No. 4:

All documents regarding, referring or relating to Dr. Marios Papaefthymiou's teaching of any course within the Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department, or any other Department, at the University of Michigan, including but not limited to, course syllabi, handouts,

outlines, digests, lecture notes, presentations, computer code, and demonstrative software and hardware created for any such course.

Request No. 5:

All documents regarding, referring or relating to any invention disclosures submitted to the University of Michigan Technology Transfer Office by Dr. Marios Papaefthymiou while being employed by the University of Michigan, including but not limited to, Invention Disclosure Nos. 1496, 1759, 2270, 2299, 2300, and 2452.

ATTACHMENT B

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11

12 SYNOPSYS, INC.,
13

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,
17

Defendant.

CASE NO. C03-02289-MJJ (EMC)

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

18
19 1. All Confidential Information produced or exchanged in the course of this litigation shall
20 be used solely for the purpose of preparation and trial of this litigation and for no other purpose
21 whatsoever, and shall not be disclosed to any person except in accordance with the terms hereof.

22 2. "Confidential Information," as used herein, means any information of any type, kind or
23 character that is designated as "Confidential" by any of the supplying or receiving parties, whether it be
24 a document, information contained in a document, information revealed during a deposition,
25 information revealed in an interrogatory answer or otherwise. In designating information as
26 "Confidential," a party will make such designation only as to that information that it in good faith
27 believes contains "Confidential Information."

28 3. (a) "Confidential Information" includes, but is not limited to, (i) proprietary technical

1
2
information and specifications, (ii) trade secrets (iii) confidential know-how, and (iv) proprietary
3
business and financial information and any other non-public information, the disclosure of which is
4
likely to have the effect of causing significant competitive harm to the disclosing party or party from
which the information was obtained. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to limit the
description of "Confidential Information" set forth in paragraph 2.

8 (b) Nothing shall be regarded as "Confidential Information" if it is information that:
9 (i) is in the public domain at the time of disclosure, as evidenced by a written document;
10 (ii) becomes part of the public domain through no fault of the other party, as evidenced
11 by a written document;
12 (iii) was in the receiving party's rightful and lawful possession at the time of disclosure,
13 as evidenced by a written document; or
14 (iv) is lawfully received by the receiving party from a third party at a later date without
15 restriction as to disclosure, provided such third party has the right to make the disclosure to the
16 receiving party.
17

18 4. "Qualified Persons," as used herein means:
19 (a) To the Court and its officers and staff, including court reporters;
20 (b) Outside attorneys of record for the parties in this litigation and employees of such
attorneys to whom it is necessary that the material be shown for purposes of this litigation;
22 (c) Outside experts, consultants, advisors or investigators (collectively referred to
23 hereafter as "experts") who have signed an undertaking pursuant to paragraph 5 but only after
24 compliance with the provisions of paragraph 5 below;
25 (d) To non-party support services including, but not limited to, court reporters, outside
26 copy services, document imaging and database services, design services who have signed confidentiality
27 agreements, jury consultants who have signed confidentiality agreements, mock jurors who have signed
28 confidentiality agreements, and language translators who have signed confidentiality agreements

1
2
(including support staff) as may be reasonably necessary in connection with the preparation or conduct
3
of this action;
4

5 (e) Anyone to whom the parties consent in writing; and

6 (f) If this Court so elects, any other person may be designated as a Qualified Person by
order of this Court, after notice and opportunity to be heard to all parties.

8 5. Prior to the disclosure of any "Confidential Information" to any expert under Paragraph
4(c), counsel for the Party seeking to make the disclosure shall: (i) deliver a copy of this Protective
Order as
9 entered to such person, explain its terms to such person, and secure the signature of such
10 person on a written undertaking in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, and (ii) transmit by facsimile
11 and mail to counsel for the other Parties a copy of the signed Exhibit A, accompanied by a curriculum
12 vitae, at least ten (10) calendar days before any "Confidential Information" designated under this
13 Protective Order is to be disclosed to the signator. The curriculum vitae should identify the general
14 area(s) of expertise of the expert, provide a brief job history, specify all employment, expert or
15 consulting engagements by the expert within the past five (5) years, and state all present or prior
16 relationships between the expert and any entity directly or indirectly involved in this litigation or
17 providing an indemnity to any such entity, its subsidiaries or its affiliates. Any Party may object to the
18 proposed disclosure to an expert within the ten (10) calendar day period following the transmittal of
19 Exhibit A and the curriculum vitae, by stating specifically in writing the reasons why the Party believes
20 such expert should not receive designated "Confidential Information." If during that ten (10) calendar
21 day period a Party makes such a written objection, there shall be no disclosure of "Confidential
22 Information" to the expert absent mutual agreement of the Parties, waiver of the objection as stated
23 below, or further order of the Court. After a Party objects to the proposed disclosure to an expert, the
24 objecting Party shall move, by noticed motion or by ex parte application, for an order that disclosure not
25 be made to such expert within five (5) business days following the date that the objection is made, or the
26 Party's objection shall be deemed waived and disclosure may be made to the expert. The burden shall
27
28

1
2
3 be on the objecting Party to establish why the disclosure should not be made. Each Party shall maintain
4 a file of all such signed copies of Exhibit A. However, it shall not be necessary for administrative,
5 secretarial or clerical personnel working for such Qualified Person to sign a written undertaking.

6 6. (a) Documents produced in this action may be designated by any party or parties as
7 "Confidential" by marking each page of the document(s) with the designation "Confidential."

8 (b) In lieu of marking the original of a document, if the original is not produced, the
9 designating party may mark the copies that are produced or exchanged. Originals shall be preserved for
10 inspection.

11 (c) If the document is not in paper form, the producing person or entity shall use other
12 such reasonable means as necessary to identify clearly the document or information as "Confidential."

13 7. Discovery responses or other litigation materials may be designated by any party or
14 parties as "Confidential" by marking each page of the response with the designation "Confidential."

15 8. The designation of information disclosed during a deposition as "Confidential" shall be
16 made either by a statement on the record at the deposition or within twenty (20) calendar days after
17 receipt by counsel of a copy of the deposition transcript. Such designation will be applied to only those
18 portions of the deposition transcript that include a specific question and response or series of questions
19 and responses containing "Confidential Information." The deposition transcript shall be printed in
20 consecutive pages (whether or not some pages are designated as "Confidential") with a marking on the
21 cover of the deposition transcript indicating the "Confidential" designation contained therein. Unless
22 previously designated otherwise, all deposition transcripts shall be treated as "Confidential" in their
23 entirety prior to the end of the twenty (20) calendar day period following receipt by counsel of a copy of
24 the deposition transcript.
25

26 9. "Confidential Information" shall not be disclosed or made available by the receiving
27 party to persons other than Qualified Persons except that nothing herein is intended to prevent
28 individuals who are in-house counsel or a member of the professional legal department of the Parties

1
2
3 from having access to pleadings, briefs and exhibits or declarations filed with the Court and expert
4 reports, including exhibits that are designated as "Confidential."

5 10. (a) Documents to be inspected shall be treated as "Confidential" although such
6 documents need not be marked as "Confidential" prior to inspection. At the time of copying for the
7 receiving parties, any documents containing "Confidential Information" shall be stamped prominently
8 "Confidential" by the producing party.

9 (b) Nothing herein shall prevent disclosure beyond the terms of this Order if each party
10 designating the information as "Confidential" consents to such disclosure or if the Court, after notice to
11 all affected parties, orders such disclosures. Nothing herein shall prevent any counsel of record from
12 utilizing "Confidential Information" in the examination or cross-examination of any person who is
13 indicated on the document as being an author, source or recipient of the "Confidential Information,"
14 irrespective of which party produced such information. Nothing herein shall prevent any counsel of
15 record from utilizing "Confidential Information" in the examination or cross-examination of any person
16 who is a current or former officer, director or employee of the party so designating the information as
17 "Confidential" or of the party that produced the information or of a related entity.
18

19 11. If a party inadvertently discloses any document or thing containing information that it
20 deems confidential without designating it as "Confidential," the disclosing party shall promptly upon
21 discovery of such inadvertent disclosure inform the receiving party in writing, and the receiving party
22 and all Qualified Persons possessing such information shall thereafter treat the information as
23 "Confidential" under this Order. To the extent such information may have been disclosed to persons
24 other than Qualified Persons described in this document, the receiving party shall make every
25 reasonable effort to retrieve the information promptly from such persons and to avoid any further
26 disclosure to and by such persons.
27

28 12. A party shall not be obligated to challenge the propriety of a designation as
"Confidential" at the time made, and a failure to do so shall not preclude a subsequent challenge thereto.

1
2
Nor will the failure to object be construed as an admission that any particular "Confidential
3
Information" contains or reflects currently valuable trade secrets or confidential commercial
4
information. In the event that any party to this litigation disagrees at any stage of these proceedings
with the designation by the designating party of any information as "Confidential," or the designation of
any person as a Qualified Person, the parties shall first try to resolve such dispute in good faith on an
informal basis, such as production of redacted copies. If the parties are unsuccessful in informally
resolving any disputes regarding the designation of any document or information as "Confidential," the
Court shall resolve all such disputes. It shall be the burden of the party making any designation to
establish that the information so designated is "Confidential" within the meaning of this Protective
Order. The "Confidential Information" that is the subject of the dispute shall be treated as originally
designated pending resolution of the dispute.

13
14 13. The parties may, by written stipulation filed and approved by the Court, amend this
15
Order, and any party may seek an order of this Court modifying this Protective Order. The parties agree
16
to meet and confer prior to seeking to modify this Protective Order. In addition, the Court may modify
17
this Protective Order in the interest of justice or otherwise at the Court's discretion.

18
19 14. In the event a party wishes to use any "Confidential Information" in any affidavits,
briefs, memoranda of law, or other papers filed with the Court in this litigation, such "Confidential
Information" used therein shall be filed under seal with the Court. In addition to placing documents in a
sealed envelope with instructions that the document is filed pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order
and that the envelope is not to be opened absent further order of the Court, the envelope should be
labeled to identify the title of the case, the case number, and the title of the document.

20
21 15. The Clerk of this Court is directed to maintain under seal all documents and transcripts of
22
deposition testimony and answers to interrogatories, admissions and other pleadings filed under seal
23
with the Court in this litigation that have been designated, in whole or in part, as "Confidential" by a
24
party to this action.

1
2
3 16. If a Party intends to offer into evidence or otherwise disclose in open court any
4 "Confidential Information" designated by another person or entity, counsel for such Party shall notify
5 the designating person or entity that the Party intends to disclose "Confidential Information" in open
6 court prior to the disclosure, so that the designating person or entity may confer with the Court
7 concerning appropriate procedures for protecting its "Confidential Information."

8 17. In the event any person or party that has possession, custody, or control of any
9 information designated as "Confidential" pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order receives a
10 subpoena or other process or order to produce such information, such person or party shall notify by
11 mail within five (5) business days of the Party's receipt of the request, the counsel for the party or
12 persons claiming confidential treatment of the documents sought by such subpoenas or other process or
13 order, shall furnish such counsel with a copy of said subpoena or other process or order, and shall
14 cooperate with respect to any procedure sought to be pursued by the party whose interests may be
15 affected. The party asserting the "Confidential" treatment shall have the burden of defending against
16 such subpoena, process or order. The person or party receiving the subpoena or process or order shall
17 be entitled to comply with it except: (a) to the extent the party asserting the "Confidential" treatment is
18 successful in obtaining an order modifying or quashing it; and (b) in complying with the process or
19 order shall, at a minimum, seek to obtain "Confidential" treatment of the "Confidential Information"
20 before producing it in the other proceeding or action.

22 18. If the discovery process calls for the production of information that a Party or Non-Party
23 does not wish to produce because the Party or Non-Party believes its disclosure would breach an
24 agreement with another person or entity to maintain such information in confidence, the disclosing Party
25 or Non-Party promptly shall give written notice to the other person or entity that its information is
26 subject to discovery in this litigation, and shall provide such person or entity with a copy of this
27 Protective Order. When such written notice is given to the person or entity, the disclosing Party or Non-
28 Party will advise the potential receiving Party that such notice has been given. The person or entity

1
2
3 whose information may be subject to discovery shall have ten (10) business days from receipt of the
4 written notice in which to seek relief from the Court, if the person or entity so desires. If the ten (10)
5 business days elapse without the person or entity seeking relief from the Court, the requested
information shall be produced in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order.

7 19. In the event that additional persons or entities become Parties, none of such Parties'
counsel, experts or consultants retained to assist said counsel, shall have access to "Confidential
Information" produced by or obtained from any other producing person or entity until said Party has
executed and filed with the Court its agreement to be fully bound by this Protective Order.

11 20. This Protective Order shall apply to the parties and any non-party from whom discovery
12 may be sought and who desires protection for the discovery sought. Thus, any non-party requested or
13 required to produce or disclose information in this proceeding, through subpoena or otherwise, may
14 designate such information pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order.

15 21. (a) Nothing herein requires disclosure of information, documents or things which the
16 disclosing entity contends is protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege or the work-
product exception. Nothing herein shall preclude any party from moving this Court for an order
directing the disclosure of such information, documents or things.

20 (b) In the event that any privileged attorney-client or work product documents or things
are inadvertently produced for inspection and/or provided, the disclosing party shall identify such
documents or things within five (5) days of when it discovers that the privileged materials were
inadvertently produced for inspection and/or provided, and either (1) copies shall not be provided, or (2)
if copies have already been provided, all copies in the receiving party's possession shall be promptly
returned (and not relied upon) by the receiving party. Nothing in this paragraph shall prevent the
receiving party from contending that the identified materials are not privileged, that the material was not
inadvertently produced, or that privilege was waived for reasons other than mere inadvertent production
of the material.

1
2
3 22. Within ninety (90) days after conclusion of this litigation and any and all appeals thereof,
4 any document and all reproductions of "Confidential" documents produced by a party that are in the
5 possession of any Qualified Person shall be returned to the producing party or, with the consent of the
6 producing party, destroyed. If destroyed, counsel for the receiving party shall certify to counsel for the
7 producing party compliance with this paragraph within fourteen (14) calendar days of such destruction.
8 Outside counsel for each party may maintain in its files one copy of all material produced as well as all
9 material¹⁰ filed with or otherwise presented to the Court, deposition and trial transcripts, and work
10 product¹¹ (regardless of whether such materials contain or refer to "Confidential" materials). If counsel
11 retains such materials, the materials which contain Confidential Information shall be accessible only by
12 Qualified Persons defined in paragraph 4(b) above. As far as the provisions of any protective orders
13 entered in this action restrict the communication and use of the documents produced thereunder, such
14 orders shall continue to be binding after the conclusion of this litigation including any subsequent
15 appeals or later proceedings, except that (a) there shall be no restriction on documents that are used as
16 exhibits in Court unless such exhibits were filed under seal, and (b) a party may seek the written
17 permission of the producing party or order of the Court with respect to dissolution or modification of
18 such protective orders. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the performance of said obligations.

20
21 23. (a) At the election of the Producing Party, a Receiving Party's access to a Producing
22 Party's²³ discoverable source code may be limited to inspection of the code at a secured facility provided
23 by the Producing Party. Such inspection may be conducted only by persons identified in advance by the
24 Receiving Party on a list of "Qualified Inspecting Personnel" which may include:

- 25 (1) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of record in this action; and
26 (2) up to three Experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to
27 whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the
28 "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order (Exhibit A) and who have been approved
pursuant to the "Procedures for Approving Disclosure of 'CONFIDENTIAL' information

1
2 of Items to 'Experts'" as set forth in paragraph 5.
3 (b) The following provisions relate to Synopsys' provision of access to the Source
Code for versions of its commercial products:
4

5 (1) Synopsys will make available a closed room at its facility in Bethesda,
6 Maryland for use by Ricoh's Qualified Inspecting Personnel. The room will be set aside
7 for the exclusive use of Ricoh's Qualified Inspecting Personnel and will not be used by
8 Synopsys or any other party when Ricoh's Qualified Inspecting Personnel are not present.
9 The room will be available for a minimum of twelve weeks. After twelve weeks, and
10 after consultation with Ricoh, Synopsys may close the facility pursuant to the procedures
11 described in paragraph 4 below. If the facility is closed, Synopsys agrees to make the
12 source code available for inspection under similar procedures at another date prior to the
13 close of expert discovery.

14 (2) Synopsys will equip the closed room with a private phone if a phone jack
15 is already available in the room, a stand-alone, non-networked, computer and high-speed
16 printer. The computer will be loaded with copies of the source code to be produced and
17 utilities required to review the code. The source code shall include the code which is
18 used by Synopsys and no notes, comments, or any segments shall be removed before
19 being made available. The computer will be equipped with the text editors available in a
20 standard Unix distribution, suitable for use in editing the source code. Synopsys will
21 assist Ricoh in loading software that Ricoh may require for analysis of the source code.
22 The computer shall also be loaded with a complete distribution of the Synopsys software
23 that is fully operable and executable.

24 (3) Ricoh may print copies of a reasonable subset of the source code for the
25 Synopsys products at issue. Any printing done at the secure facility will be done
26 exclusively on paper supplied by Synopsys. Synopsys may elect to place preprinted
27 confidential designations on the margins of the paper. Ricoh's Qualified Inspecting
28 Personnel are not to bring blank paper into the closed room except for the purpose of
making handwritten notes. Synopsys will initially supply Ricoh with 5,000 pages of
paper for use with the printer. This figure is based on the estimate that 5,000 pages
should be sufficient to print approximately 5% of the source code for Design Compiler. If
at any time, Ricoh believes that additional printing and paper is required, Ricoh may
submit additional requests for paper to Synopsys with a general statement of the basis of
the request. Synopsys will respond within one week to any such request. If the parties

1
2
3 are unable to come to agreement after conferral, the matter may be presented to the
4 Court. In evaluating requests for paper, the relevant standard to be applied is that Ricoh
5 should be allowed to print hardcopies of a reasonable subset of the Synopsys source code
6 and that what is reasonable shall be evaluated in light of relevance of the code to Ricoh's
7 allegations and Synopsys' interest in preventing release in hardcopy of more than a
8 fraction of its source code.

9 (4) Ricoh will be permitted to send individuals from the list of Qualified
10 Inspecting Personnel to participate in and/or witness the closing of the secured facility.
11 Before closing of the facility, Ricoh's representatives may provide a list of procedures
12 that they wish to perform to ensure that any electronic record of their use of the machine
13 has been erased.

14 (c) The Receiving Party will provide the Producing Party with a copy of its list of
15 "Qualified Inspecting Personnel" no later than 5 business days before any person on the list attempts to
16 access the secured facility. The Receiving Party may revise the list to add or remove individuals,
provided that no more than a total of three Experts are ever provided with access to the source code
during the entire course of the litigation absent an agreement by the parties or a Court Order to expand
this number.

17 (d) Any notes taken or any other information created by Outside Counsel or the
18 experts of the Receiving Party at or based on any inspection of the source code shall be treated as
"CONFIDENTIAL" under this Protective Order.

19 24. This Order shall not bar any attorney herein in the course of rendering advice to his client
20 with respect to this litigation from conveying to any party client his evaluation in a general way of
21 "Confidential Information" produced or exchanged herein; provided, however, that in rendering such
22 advice and otherwise communicating with his client, the attorney shall not disclose the specific contents
23 of any "Confidential Information" produced by another party herein, which disclosure would be contrary
24 to the terms of this Protective Order.

25 25. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this order for six (6) months
26 after the final termination of this action.

27 Dated: March 23, 2004

HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP

28

/s/ Christopher L. Kelley
Teresa M. Corbin, Esq.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Christopher Kelley, Esq.
Erik K. Moller, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC.
301 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: (650) 463-8100
Facsimile: (650) 463-8400

Dated: March 23, 2004

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY, LLP

/s/ Kenneth W. Brothers
Kenneth W. Brothers, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
Attorneys for Defendant RICOH COMPANY, LTD.
2101 L Street NW
Washington, DC 20037
Telephone: (202) 785-9700
Facsimile: (202) 887-0689

ORDER

SO ORDERED this 24th day of March, 2004.

/s/
Magistrate Judge Edward M. Chen

1 Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360)
Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076)
2 Ethan B. Andelman (SBN 209101)
Jaclyn C. Fink (SBN 217913)
3 HOWREY LLP
525 Market Street, Suite 3600
4 San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 848-4900
5 Facsimile: (415) 848-4999

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC.
and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,
7 AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX
8 GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL
CORP., MATROX TECH, INC., and
9 AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI
SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
17 ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS LTD., MATROX
GRAPHICS INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL
18 CORP., MATROX TECH, INC., AND
AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.

19 Defendants.

20 SYNOPSYS, INC.,

21 Plaintiff,

22 vs.

23 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

24 Defendant.

Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)

Case No. C03-02289 MJJ (EMC)

**NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO YALE
UNIVERSITY**

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

2 YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45, Plaintiff
3 Synopsys, Inc. has served Yale University c/o Dorothy K. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel,
4 the attached subpoena for production of documents.

5 The University is required to produce documents in its custody, possession or control specified in
6 Attachment A to the subpoena by 10:00 a.m. EST on Friday, August 18, 2006 at Legal Impressions, 205
7 Church Street, New Haven Connecticut, Telephone: (203) 907-4557.

8 Dated: August 14, 2006

HOWREY LLP

9
10 By: 

11 Matthew F. Greinert
12 Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS
13 Defendants AEROFLEX
14 INCORPORATED, AMI
15 SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
16 ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD.,
17 MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX
18 INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX
19 TECH, INC., and AEROFLEX
20 COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ss.:

I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 525 Market Street, Suite 3600, San Francisco, California 94105.

On August 14, 2006 I served on the interested parties in said action the within:

NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO YALE UNIVERSITY

by causing said document to be sent by Electronic Mail on August 14, 2006 to the email addresses indicated for the parties listed below and by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as stated below and causing such envelope(s) to be delivered as follows:

Gary M. Hoffman, Esq.

HoffmanG@dsmo.com

Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky, LLP

2101 L Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20037-1526

Jeffrey Demain, Esq.

jdemain@altshulerberzon.com

Altshuler, Berzon, Nussbaum, Rubin & Demain

177 Post Street, Suite 300

San Francisco, CA 94108

Facsimile No.: (202) 887-0689

Facsimile No.: (415) 362-8064

Edward A. Meilman, Esq.

MeilmanE@dsmo.com

Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky, LLP

1177 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036-2714


Facsimile No.: (212) 896-5471

☒ (OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) on August 14, 2006 by depositing in a box or other facility regularly maintained by Federal Express, an express service carrier, or delivering to a courier or driver authorized by said express service carrier to receive documents, a true copy of the foregoing document in sealed envelopes or packages designated by the express service carrier, addressed as stated above, with fees for overnight delivery paid or provided for and causing such envelope(s) to be delivered by said express service carrier on.

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made and that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 14, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

James M. James
(Type or print name)


(Signature)

Issued by the
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

RICOH COMPANY, LTD

V.
AEROFLEX, INCORPORATED, et al.

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

Case Number: ¹ C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)
(Pending in the US District Court for
the Northern District of California)

TO: DOROTHY K. ROBINSON, Esq., Vice President & General Counsel,
on behalf of YALE UNIVERSITY
2 Whitney Avenue, 6th Floor
New Haven, Connecticut 06510

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case.

PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM
	DATE AND TIME

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition in the above case.

PLACE OF DEPOSITION	DATE AND TIME


☒ YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the place, date, and time specified below (list documents or objects):
SEE ATTACHMENT A

PLACE Legal Impressions, attention Michael Ferreira 205 Church St, New Haven, Connecticut, 203-907-4557	DATE AND TIME August 18, 2006, 10:00 a.m. EST
--	--

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the date and time specified below.

PREMISES	DATE AND TIME

Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).

ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT) Attorney for Defendant 	DATE August 14, 2006
---	-------------------------

ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER
Matthew F. Greinert, HOWREY LLP, 525 Market Street, Suite 3600, San Francisco, CA 94105; Telephone: (415) 848-4900

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D on next page)

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

PROOF OF SERVICE

DATE _____ PLACE _____

SERVED:

SERVED ON (PRINT NAME) _____ MANNER OF SERVICE _____

SERVED BY (PRINT NAME) _____ TITLE _____

DECLARATION OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.

Executed on _____
DATE

SIGNATURE OF SERVER

ADDRESS OF SERVER

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d) (2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect and copy materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded.

(3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it

(i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance,

(ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c) (3) (B) (iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend

trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held, or

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or

(ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena, or, if the party in who behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

(1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.

(2) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

ATTACHMENT A

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 and as directed in the subpoena attached hereto, you are to produce all documents and things within the scope of the following definitions and descriptions that are within your possession, custody, or control. A Protective Order has been entered in this case by the United States District Court for the Northern District of California and is attached as Attachment B. Included in the Protective Order are provisions for the protection of confidential information produced by a third party. With respect to documents and things withheld under a claim of privilege, you are required under Rule 45 to describe the nature of the documents and things withheld in a manner sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claims.

DEFINITIONS

1. The terms “you,” and “your,” mean, without limitation, Yale University, including without limitation all of its subsidiaries, parents, departments and affiliates, and all past or present directors, officers, agents, representatives, employees, students, consultants, attorneys, entities acting in joint-venture or partnership relationships with Yale University and others acting on behalf of Yale University.

2. As used herein, the word “document” means the original and each nonidentical copy of any written, printed, typed, recorded, computerized, electronic, taped, graphic, or other matter, in whatever form, whether in final or draft, including but not limited to all materials that constitute “writings,” “recordings,” “photographs,” “source code” or “executable code” within the broadest meaning of Rule 1001 of the Federal Rules of Evidence and all materials that constitute “documents” within the broadest meaning of Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The word “document” includes, without limitation, printed matter, electronic mail, materials stored on computer hard drives, diskettes, tapes, any other computer media, and any other information stored magnetically, optically or in any electronic medium and/or form.

3. As used herein, “person” means any individual, firm, partnership, corporation, proprietorship, association, governmental body, or any other organization or entity.

4. As used herein, “communication” includes, without limitation, communications by whatever means transmitted (i.e., whether oral, written, electronic or other methods used), as well as any note, memorandum or other record thereof.

5. The terms “regarding, referring or relating to” and “concerning” mean reflecting, concerning, containing, pertaining, referring, relating to, indicating, showing, describing, evidencing, discussing, mentioning, embodying or computing.

6. Whenever the singular is used, it shall also be taken to include the plural, and vice versa. Whenever the conjunctive is used, it shall also be taken to include the disjunctive, and vice versa.

INSTRUCTIONS

The following instructions apply to each of the requests for documents set forth herein:

1. Please produce entire documents, including, but not limited to, attachments, enclosures, cover letters, memoranda, and appendices.

2. Pursuant to Rule 26(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, these requests for documents shall be deemed continuous up to and following the trial of this proceeding such that any documents or things requested herein which is either discovered by you or comes within your possession, custody or control subsequent to your initial responses hereto but prior to the final conclusion of this case should be produced in a supplemental response to these Document Requests immediately upon its discovery or receipt by you or your counsel.

3. If any document is withheld under a claim of privilege, in order that the Court and the parties may determine the validity of the claim of privilege, please provide a privilege log identifying each document withheld, including

- a. The type of document;
- b. The approximate date, and manner of recording, creating or otherwise preparing the document;
- c. The subject matter of the document;
- d. The name and organizational position of the person(s) who produced the document,

- e. The name and organizational position of the person(s) who received a copy of the document, or to whom the document was disclosed; and
- f. The claimed grounds on which the document is being withheld and facts sufficient to show the basis for each claim of privilege.

4. If you object to any part of a request for documents and refuse to produce documents responsive to that part, state your objection and respond to the remaining portion of that request. If you object to the scope or time period of a request for documents, state your objection and respond to the request for documents for the scope or time period you believe is appropriate.

5. Please produce all documents in the order in which they are kept in the ordinary course of business, and in their original file folders, binders, covers or containers, or facsimile thereof.

6. Any document bearing any changes, including, but not limited to, markings, handwritten notation, or other differences, that are not a part of the original text, or any reproduction thereof, is to be considered a separate document for purposes of responding to the following document requests. English translations of partial translations of foreign language documents should also be considered separate documents.

7. If a requested document is in a language other than English, please produce both the original and any existing English translation thereof.

8. If any of the following requests for documents cannot be responded to in full after exercising due diligence to secure the requested documents, please so state and respond to the extent possible, specifying your inability to respond to the remainder and stating whatever information you have regarding, referring or relating to the unanswered portions. If your response is qualified in any particular manner, set forth the details of such qualification.

9. Please produce hard copies of electronic records or produce computerized information in an intelligible format with a description of the system from which it was derived sufficient to permit rendering the materials intelligible.

DOCUMENT REQUESTS

Request No.1:

All documents regarding, referring or relating to Dr. Marios Papaefthymiou's teaching of a course titled "Computer Systems" in the Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department at Yale University, including but not limited to, course syllabi, handouts, outlines, digests, lecture notes, presentations, computer code, an instructional RISC microprocessor implemented in Verilog, and any other demonstrative software and hardware created for the course.

Request No. 2:

All documents regarding, referring or relating to Dr. Marios Papaefthymiou's teaching of a course titled "Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits" in the Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department at Yale University, including but not limited to, course syllabi, handouts, outlines, digests, lecture notes, presentations, computer code, and demonstrative software and hardware created for the course.

Request No. 3:

All documents regarding, referring or relating to Dr. Marios Papaefthymiou's teaching of any course within the Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department, or any other Department, at Yale University, including but not limited to, course syllabi, handouts, outlines, digests, lecture notes, presentations, computer code, and demonstrative software and hardware created for any such course.

ATTACHMENT B

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11

12 SYNOPSYS, INC.,
13

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,
17

Defendant.

CASE NO. C03-02289-MJJ (EMC)

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

18
19 1. All Confidential Information produced or exchanged in the course of this litigation shall
20 be used solely for the purpose of preparation and trial of this litigation and for no other purpose
21 whatsoever, and shall not be disclosed to any person except in accordance with the terms hereof.

22 2. "Confidential Information," as used herein, means any information of any type, kind or
23 character that is designated as "Confidential" by any of the supplying or receiving parties, whether it be
24 a document, information contained in a document, information revealed during a deposition,
25 information revealed in an interrogatory answer or otherwise. In designating information as
26 "Confidential," a party will make such designation only as to that information that it in good faith
27 believes contains "Confidential Information."

28 3. (a) "Confidential Information" includes, but is not limited to, (i) proprietary technical

1
2
information and specifications, (ii) trade secrets (iii) confidential know-how, and (iv) proprietary
3
business and financial information and any other non-public information, the disclosure of which is
4
likely to have the effect of causing significant competitive harm to the disclosing party or party from
which the information was obtained. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to limit the
description of "Confidential Information" set forth in paragraph 2.

8 (b) Nothing shall be regarded as "Confidential Information" if it is information that:
9 (i) is in the public domain at the time of disclosure, as evidenced by a written document;
10 (ii) becomes part of the public domain through no fault of the other party, as evidenced
11 by a written document;
12 (iii) was in the receiving party's rightful and lawful possession at the time of disclosure,
13 as evidenced by a written document; or
14 (iv) is lawfully received by the receiving party from a third party at a later date without
15 restriction as to disclosure, provided such third party has the right to make the disclosure to the
16 receiving party.
17

18 4. "Qualified Persons," as used herein means:

19 (a) To the Court and its officers and staff, including court reporters;

20 (b) Outside attorneys of record for the parties in this litigation and employees of such
attorneys to whom it is necessary that the material be shown for purposes of this litigation;

22 (c) Outside experts, consultants, advisors or investigators (collectively referred to
23 hereafter as "experts") who have signed an undertaking pursuant to paragraph 5 but only after
24 compliance with the provisions of paragraph 5 below;

25 (d) To non-party support services including, but not limited to, court reporters, outside
26 copy services, document imaging and database services, design services who have signed confidentiality
27 agreements, jury consultants who have signed confidentiality agreements, mock jurors who have signed
28 confidentiality agreements, and language translators who have signed confidentiality agreements

1
2
(including support staff) as may be reasonably necessary in connection with the preparation or conduct
3
of this action;
4

5 (e) Anyone to whom the parties consent in writing; and

6 (f) If this Court so elects, any other person may be designated as a Qualified Person by
order of this Court, after notice and opportunity to be heard to all parties.

8 5. Prior to the disclosure of any "Confidential Information" to any expert under Paragraph
4(c), counsel for the Party seeking to make the disclosure shall: (i) deliver a copy of this Protective
Order as entered to such person, explain its terms to such person, and secure the signature of such
person on a written undertaking in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, and (ii) transmit by facsimile
and mail to counsel for the other Parties a copy of the signed Exhibit A, accompanied by a curriculum
vitae, at least ten (10) calendar days before any "Confidential Information" designated under this
Protective Order is to be disclosed to the signator. The curriculum vitae should identify the general
area(s) of expertise of the expert, provide a brief job history, specify all employment, expert or
consulting engagements by the expert within the past five (5) years, and state all present or prior
relationships between the expert and any entity directly or indirectly involved in this litigation or
providing an indemnity to any such entity, its subsidiaries or its affiliates. Any Party may object to the
proposed disclosure to an expert within the ten (10) calendar day period following the transmittal of
Exhibit A and the curriculum vitae, by stating specifically in writing the reasons why the Party believes
such expert should not receive designated "Confidential Information." If during that ten (10) calendar
day period a Party makes such a written objection, there shall be no disclosure of "Confidential
Information" to the expert absent mutual agreement of the Parties, waiver of the objection as stated
below, or further order of the Court. After a Party objects to the proposed disclosure to an expert, the
objecting Party shall move, by noticed motion or by ex parte application, for an order that disclosure not
be made to such expert within five (5) business days following the date that the objection is made, or the
Party's objection shall be deemed waived and disclosure may be made to the expert. The burden shall

1
2
3 be on the objecting Party to establish why the disclosure should not be made. Each Party shall maintain
4 a file of all such signed copies of Exhibit A. However, it shall not be necessary for administrative,
5 secretarial or clerical personnel working for such Qualified Person to sign a written undertaking.

6 6. (a) Documents produced in this action may be designated by any party or parties as
7 "Confidential" by marking each page of the document(s) with the designation "Confidential."

8 (b) In lieu of marking the original of a document, if the original is not produced, the
9 designating party may mark the copies that are produced or exchanged. Originals shall be preserved for
10 inspection.

11 (c) If the document is not in paper form, the producing person or entity shall use other
12 such reasonable means as necessary to identify clearly the document or information as "Confidential."

13 7. Discovery responses or other litigation materials may be designated by any party or
14 parties as "Confidential" by marking each page of the response with the designation "Confidential."

15 8. The designation of information disclosed during a deposition as "Confidential" shall be
16 made either by a statement on the record at the deposition or within twenty (20) calendar days after
17 receipt by counsel of a copy of the deposition transcript. Such designation will be applied to only those
18 portions of the deposition transcript that include a specific question and response or series of questions
19 and responses containing "Confidential Information." The deposition transcript shall be printed in
20 consecutive pages (whether or not some pages are designated as "Confidential") with a marking on the
21 cover of the deposition transcript indicating the "Confidential" designation contained therein. Unless
22 previously designated otherwise, all deposition transcripts shall be treated as "Confidential" in their
23 entirety prior to the end of the twenty (20) calendar day period following receipt by counsel of a copy of
24 the deposition transcript.
25

26 9. "Confidential Information" shall not be disclosed or made available by the receiving
27 party to persons other than Qualified Persons except that nothing herein is intended to prevent
28 individuals who are in-house counsel or a member of the professional legal department of the Parties

1
2
3 from having access to pleadings, briefs and exhibits or declarations filed with the Court and expert
4 reports, including exhibits that are designated as "Confidential."

5 10. (a) Documents to be inspected shall be treated as "Confidential" although such
6 documents need not be marked as "Confidential" prior to inspection. At the time of copying for the
7 receiving parties, any documents containing "Confidential Information" shall be stamped prominently
8 "Confidential" by the producing party.

9 (b) Nothing herein shall prevent disclosure beyond the terms of this Order if each party
10 designating the information as "Confidential" consents to such disclosure or if the Court, after notice to
11 all affected parties, orders such disclosures. Nothing herein shall prevent any counsel of record from
12 utilizing "Confidential Information" in the examination or cross-examination of any person who is
13 indicated on the document as being an author, source or recipient of the "Confidential Information,"
14 irrespective of which party produced such information. Nothing herein shall prevent any counsel of
15 record from utilizing "Confidential Information" in the examination or cross-examination of any person
16 who is a current or former officer, director or employee of the party so designating the information as
17 "Confidential" or of the party that produced the information or of a related entity.
18

19 11. If a party inadvertently discloses any document or thing containing information that it
20 deems confidential without designating it as "Confidential," the disclosing party shall promptly upon
21 discovery of such inadvertent disclosure inform the receiving party in writing, and the receiving party
22 and all Qualified Persons possessing such information shall thereafter treat the information as
23 "Confidential" under this Order. To the extent such information may have been disclosed to persons
24 other than Qualified Persons described in this document, the receiving party shall make every
25 reasonable effort to retrieve the information promptly from such persons and to avoid any further
26 disclosure to and by such persons.
27

28 12. A party shall not be obligated to challenge the propriety of a designation as
"Confidential" at the time made, and a failure to do so shall not preclude a subsequent challenge thereto.

1
2
3 Nor will the failure to object be construed as an admission that any particular "Confidential
4 Information" contains or reflects currently valuable trade secrets or confidential commercial
5 information. In the event that any party to this litigation disagrees at any stage of these proceedings
6 with the designation by the designating party of any information as "Confidential," or the designation of
7 any person as a Qualified Person, the parties shall first try to resolve such dispute in good faith on an
8 informal basis, such as production of redacted copies. If the parties are unsuccessful in informally
9 resolving any disputes regarding the designation of any document or information as "Confidential," the
10 Court shall resolve all such disputes. It shall be the burden of the party making any designation to
11 establish that the information so designated is "Confidential" within the meaning of this Protective
12 Order. The "Confidential Information" that is the subject of the dispute shall be treated as originally
13 designated pending resolution of the dispute.

14
15 13. The parties may, by written stipulation filed and approved by the Court, amend this
16 Order, and any party may seek an order of this Court modifying this Protective Order. The parties agree
17 to meet and confer prior to seeking to modify this Protective Order. In addition, the Court may modify
18 this Protective Order in the interest of justice or otherwise at the Court's discretion.

19 14. In the event a party wishes to use any "Confidential Information" in any affidavits,
20 briefs, memoranda of law, or other papers filed with the Court in this litigation, such "Confidential
21 Information" used therein shall be filed under seal with the Court. In addition to placing documents in a
22 sealed envelope with instructions that the document is filed pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order
23 and that the envelope is not to be opened absent further order of the Court, the envelope should be
24 labeled to identify the title of the case, the case number, and the title of the document.

25 15. The Clerk of this Court is directed to maintain under seal all documents and transcripts of
26 deposition testimony and answers to interrogatories, admissions and other pleadings filed under seal
27 with the Court in this litigation that have been designated, in whole or in part, as "Confidential" by a
28 party to this action.

1
2
3 16. If a Party intends to offer into evidence or otherwise disclose in open court any
4 "Confidential Information" designated by another person or entity, counsel for such Party shall notify
5 the designating person or entity that the Party intends to disclose "Confidential Information" in open
6 court prior to the disclosure, so that the designating person or entity may confer with the Court
7 concerning appropriate procedures for protecting its "Confidential Information."

8 17. In the event any person or party that has possession, custody, or control of any
9 information designated as "Confidential" pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order receives a
10 subpoena or other process or order to produce such information, such person or party shall notify by
11 mail within five (5) business days of the Party's receipt of the request, the counsel for the party or
12 persons claiming confidential treatment of the documents sought by such subpoenas or other process or
13 order, shall furnish such counsel with a copy of said subpoena or other process or order, and shall
14 cooperate with respect to any procedure sought to be pursued by the party whose interests may be
15 affected. The party asserting the "Confidential" treatment shall have the burden of defending against
16 such subpoena, process or order. The person or party receiving the subpoena or process or order shall
17 be entitled to comply with it except: (a) to the extent the party asserting the "Confidential" treatment is
18 successful in obtaining an order modifying or quashing it; and (b) in complying with the process or
19 order shall, at a minimum, seek to obtain "Confidential" treatment of the "Confidential Information"
20 before producing it in the other proceeding or action.

22 18. If the discovery process calls for the production of information that a Party or Non-Party
23 does not wish to produce because the Party or Non-Party believes its disclosure would breach an
24 agreement with another person or entity to maintain such information in confidence, the disclosing Party
25 or Non-Party promptly shall give written notice to the other person or entity that its information is
26 subject to discovery in this litigation, and shall provide such person or entity with a copy of this
27 Protective Order. When such written notice is given to the person or entity, the disclosing Party or Non-
28 Party will advise the potential receiving Party that such notice has been given. The person or entity

1
2
3 whose information may be subject to discovery shall have ten (10) business days from receipt of the
4 written notice in which to seek relief from the Court, if the person or entity so desires. If the ten (10)
5 business days elapse without the person or entity seeking relief from the Court, the requested
information shall be produced in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order.

7 19. In the event that additional persons or entities become Parties, none of such Parties'
counsel, experts or consultants retained to assist said counsel, shall have access to "Confidential
Information" produced by or obtained from any other producing person or entity until said Party has
executed and filed with the Court its agreement to be fully bound by this Protective Order.

11 20. This Protective Order shall apply to the parties and any non-party from whom discovery
12 may be sought and who desires protection for the discovery sought. Thus, any non-party requested or
13 required to produce or disclose information in this proceeding, through subpoena or otherwise, may
14 designate such information pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order.

15 21. (a) Nothing herein requires disclosure of information, documents or things which the
16 disclosing entity contends is protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege or the work-
17 product exception. Nothing herein shall preclude any party from moving this Court for an order
18 directing the disclosure of such information, documents or things.

20 (b) In the event that any privileged attorney-client or work product documents or things
are inadvertently produced for inspection and/or provided, the disclosing party shall identify such
documents or things within five (5) days of when it discovers that the privileged materials were
inadvertently produced for inspection and/or provided, and either (1) copies shall not be provided, or (2)
if copies have already been provided, all copies in the receiving party's possession shall be promptly
returned (and not relied upon) by the receiving party. Nothing in this paragraph shall prevent the
receiving party from contending that the identified materials are not privileged, that the material was not
inadvertently produced, or that privilege was waived for reasons other than mere inadvertent production
of the material.

1
2
3 22. Within ninety (90) days after conclusion of this litigation and any and all appeals thereof,
4 any document and all reproductions of "Confidential" documents produced by a party that are in the
5 possession of any Qualified Person shall be returned to the producing party or, with the consent of the
6 producing party, destroyed. If destroyed, counsel for the receiving party shall certify to counsel for the
7 producing party compliance with this paragraph within fourteen (14) calendar days of such destruction.
8 Outside counsel for each party may maintain in its files one copy of all material produced as well as all
9 material¹⁰ filed with or otherwise presented to the Court, deposition and trial transcripts, and work
10 product¹¹ (regardless of whether such materials contain or refer to "Confidential" materials). If counsel
11 retains such materials, the materials which contain Confidential Information shall be accessible only by
12 Qualified Persons defined in paragraph 4(b) above. As far as the provisions of any protective orders
13 entered in this action restrict the communication and use of the documents produced thereunder, such
14 orders shall continue to be binding after the conclusion of this litigation including any subsequent
15 appeals or later proceedings, except that (a) there shall be no restriction on documents that are used as
16 exhibits in Court unless such exhibits were filed under seal, and (b) a party may seek the written
17 permission of the producing party or order of the Court with respect to dissolution or modification of
18 such protective orders. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the performance of said obligations.

20
21 23. (a) At the election of the Producing Party, a Receiving Party's access to a Producing
22 Party's²³ discoverable source code may be limited to inspection of the code at a secured facility provided
23 by the Producing Party. Such inspection may be conducted only by persons identified in advance by the
24 Receiving Party on a list of "Qualified Inspecting Personnel" which may include:

- 25 (1) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of record in this action; and
- 26 (2) up to three Experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to
- 27 whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the
- 28 "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order (Exhibit A) and who have been approved
- pursuant to the "Procedures for Approving Disclosure of 'CONFIDENTIAL' information

1
2 of Items to 'Experts'" as set forth in paragraph 5.
3 (b) The following provisions relate to Synopsys' provision of access to the Source
Code for versions of its commercial products:
4

5 (1) Synopsys will make available a closed room at its facility in Bethesda,
6 Maryland for use by Ricoh's Qualified Inspecting Personnel. The room will be set aside
7 for the exclusive use of Ricoh's Qualified Inspecting Personnel and will not be used by
8 Synopsys or any other party when Ricoh's Qualified Inspecting Personnel are not present.
9 The room will be available for a minimum of twelve weeks. After twelve weeks, and
10 after consultation with Ricoh, Synopsys may close the facility pursuant to the procedures
11 described in paragraph 4 below. If the facility is closed, Synopsys agrees to make the
12 source code available for inspection under similar procedures at another date prior to the
13 close of expert discovery.

14 (2) Synopsys will equip the closed room with a private phone if a phone jack
15 is already available in the room, a stand-alone, non-networked, computer and high-speed
16 printer. The computer will be loaded with copies of the source code to be produced and
17 utilities required to review the code. The source code shall include the code which is
18 used by Synopsys and no notes, comments, or any segments shall be removed before
19 being made available. The computer will be equipped with the text editors available in a
20 standard Unix distribution, suitable for use in editing the source code. Synopsys will
21 assist Ricoh in loading software that Ricoh may require for analysis of the source code.
22 The computer shall also be loaded with a complete distribution of the Synopsys software
23 that is fully operable and executable.

24 (3) Ricoh may print copies of a reasonable subset of the source code for the
25 Synopsys products at issue. Any printing done at the secure facility will be done
26 exclusively on paper supplied by Synopsys. Synopsys may elect to place preprinted
27 confidential designations on the margins of the paper. Ricoh's Qualified Inspecting
28 Personnel are not to bring blank paper into the closed room except for the purpose of
making handwritten notes. Synopsys will initially supply Ricoh with 5,000 pages of
paper for use with the printer. This figure is based on the estimate that 5,000 pages
should be sufficient to print approximately 5% of the source code for Design Compiler. If
at any time, Ricoh believes that additional printing and paper is required, Ricoh may
submit additional requests for paper to Synopsys with a general statement of the basis of
the request. Synopsys will respond within one week to any such request. If the parties

1
2
3 are unable to come to agreement after conferral, the matter may be presented to the
4 Court. In evaluating requests for paper, the relevant standard to be applied is that Ricoh
5 should be allowed to print hardcopies of a reasonable subset of the Synopsys source code
6 and that what is reasonable shall be evaluated in light of relevance of the code to Ricoh's
7 allegations and Synopsys' interest in preventing release in hardcopy of more than a
8 fraction of its source code.

9 (4) Ricoh will be permitted to send individuals from the list of Qualified
10 Inspecting Personnel to participate in and/or witness the closing of the secured facility.
11 Before closing of the facility, Ricoh's representatives may provide a list of procedures
12 that they wish to perform to ensure that any electronic record of their use of the machine
13 has been erased.

14 (c) The Receiving Party will provide the Producing Party with a copy of its list of
15 "Qualified Inspecting Personnel" no later than 5 business days before any person on the list attempts to
16 access the secured facility. The Receiving Party may revise the list to add or remove individuals,
17 provided that no more than a total of three Experts are ever provided with access to the source code
18 during the entire course of the litigation absent an agreement by the parties or a Court Order to expand
19 this number.

20 (d) Any notes taken or any other information created by Outside Counsel or the
21 experts of the Receiving Party at or based on any inspection of the source code shall be treated as
22 "CONFIDENTIAL" under this Protective Order.

23 24. This Order shall not bar any attorney herein in the course of rendering advice to his client
24 with respect to this litigation from conveying to any party client his evaluation in a general way of
25 "Confidential Information" produced or exchanged herein; provided, however, that in rendering such
26 advice and otherwise communicating with his client, the attorney shall not disclose the specific contents
27 of any "Confidential Information" produced by another party herein, which disclosure would be contrary
28 to the terms of this Protective Order.

29 25. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this order for six (6) months
30 after the final termination of this action.

31 Dated: March 23, 2004

HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP

32
33
34 /s/ Christopher L. Kelley
Teresa M. Corbin, Esq.

1 Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360)
Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076)
2 Ethan B. Andelman (SBN 209101)
Jaclyn C. Fink (SBN 217913)
3 HOWREY LLP
525 Market Street, Suite 3600
4 San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 848-4900
5 Facsimile: (415) 848-4999

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSIS, INC.
and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,
7 AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX
8 GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL
CORP., MATROX TECH, INC., and
9 AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI
SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
17 ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS LTD., MATROX
GRAPHICS INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL
18 CORP., MATROX TECH, INC., AND
AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.

19 Defendants.

20 SYNOPSIS, INC.,

21 Plaintiff,

22 vs.

23 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

24 Defendant.

Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)

Case No. C03-02289 MJJ (EMC)

**NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO THE
MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF
TECHNOLOGY**

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:


2 YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45, Plaintiff
3 Synopsys, Inc. has served Massachusetts Institute of Technology c/o Jamie Lewis Keith, Senior Counsel,
4 the attached subpoena for production of documents.

5 The Massachusetts Institute of Technology is required to produce documents in its custody,
6 possession or control specified in Attachment A to the subpoena by 10:00 a.m. EST on Friday, August 18,
7 2006 at WarRoom Document Solutions, 274 Summer Street, 2nd Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02210,
8 Telephone: (617) 426-6463.

9 Dated: August 14, 2006

HOWREY LLP

10
11 By: _____


Matthew F. Greinert
Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS
Defendants AEROFLEX
INCORPORATED, AMI
SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD.,
MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX
INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX
TECH, INC., and AEROFLEX
COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) ss.:
 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO)

I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 525 Market Street, Suite 3600, San Francisco, California 94105.

On August 14, 2006 I served on the interested parties in said action the within:

NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO THE MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

by causing said document to be sent by Electronic Mail on August 14, 2006 to the email addresses indicated for the parties listed below and by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as stated below and causing such envelope(s) to be delivered as follows:

Gary M. Hoffman, Esq.
HoffmanG@dsmo.com
 Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky, LLP
 2101 L Street, N.W.
 Washington, DC 20037-1526

Jeffrey Demain, Esq.
jdemain@altshulerberzon.com
 Altshuler, Berzon, Nussbaum, Rubin & Demain
 177 Post Street, Suite 300
 San Francisco, CA 94108

Facsimile No.: (202) 887-0689

Facsimile No.: (415) 362-8064

Edward A. Meilman, Esq.
MeilmanE@dsmo.com
 Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky, LLP
 1177 Avenue of the Americas
 New York, NY 10036-2714


Facsimile No.: (212) 896-5471

☒ (OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) on August 14, 2006 by depositing in a box or other facility regularly maintained by Federal Express, an express service carrier, or delivering to a courier or driver authorized by said express service carrier to receive documents, a true copy of the foregoing document in sealed envelopes or packages designated by the express service carrier, addressed as stated above, with fees for overnight delivery paid or provided for and causing such envelope(s) to be delivered by said express service carrier on.

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made and that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 14, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

James M. James
 (Type or print name)


 (Signature)

Issued by the
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

RICOH COMPANY, LTD

V.
AEROFLEX, INCORPORATED, et al.

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

Case Number: ¹ C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)
(Pending in the US District Court for
the Northern District of California)

TO: JAMIE LEWIS KEITH, Esq., Senior Counsel on behalf of
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Office of the Senior Counsel, Room 7-206 & Room 12-090
77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case.

PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM
	DATE AND TIME

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition in the above case.

PLACE OF DEPOSITION	DATE AND TIME
---------------------	---------------


☒ YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the place, date, and time specified below (list documents or objects):
SEE ATTACHMENT A

PLACE WarRoom Document Solutions, attn: Errol Chin 274 Summer Street, 2 nd Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02210, (617) 426-6463	DATE AND TIME August 18, 2006, 10:00 a.m. EST
---	--

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the date and time specified below.

PREMISES	DATE AND TIME
----------	---------------

Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).

ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT) Attorney for Defendant 	DATE August 14, 2006
---	-------------------------

ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER
Matthew F. Greinert, HOWREY LLP, 525 Market Street, Suite 3600, San Francisco, CA 94105; Telephone: (415) 848-4900

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D on next page)

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

PROOF OF SERVICE

DATE _____ PLACE _____

SERVED:

SERVED ON (PRINT NAME) _____ MANNER OF SERVICE _____

SERVED BY (PRINT NAME) _____ TITLE _____

DECLARATION OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.

Executed on _____

DATE

SIGNATURE OF SERVER _____

ADDRESS OF SERVER _____

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d) (2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect and copy materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded.

(3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it

(i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance,

(ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c) (3) (B) (iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend

trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held, or

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or

(ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena, or, if the party in who behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

(1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.

(2) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

ATTACHMENT A

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 and as directed in the subpoena attached hereto, you are to produce all documents and things within the scope of the following definitions and descriptions that are within your possession, custody, or control. A Protective Order has been entered in this case by the United States District Court for the Northern District of California and is attached as Attachment B. Included in the Protective Order are provisions for the protection of confidential information produced by a third party. With respect to documents and things withheld under a claim of privilege, you are required under Rule 45 to describe the nature of the documents and things withheld in a manner sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claims.

DEFINITIONS

1. The terms “you,” and “your,” mean, without limitation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, including without limitation all of its subsidiaries, parents, departments and affiliates, and all past or present directors, officers, agents, representatives, employees, students, consultants, attorneys, entities acting in joint-venture or partnership relationships with Massachusetts Institute of Technology and others acting on behalf of Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

2. As used herein, the word “document” means the original and each nonidentical copy of any written, printed, typed, recorded, computerized, electronic, taped, graphic, or other matter, in whatever form, whether in final or draft, including but not limited to all materials that constitute “writings,” “recordings,” “photographs,” “source code” or “executable code” within the broadest meaning of Rule 1001 of the Federal Rules of Evidence and all materials that constitute “documents” within the broadest meaning of Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The word “document” includes, without limitation, printed matter, electronic mail, materials stored on computer hard drives, diskettes, tapes, any other computer media, and any other information stored magnetically, optically or in any electronic medium and/or form.

3. As used herein, “person” means any individual, firm, partnership, corporation, proprietorship, association, governmental body, or any other organization or entity.

4. As used herein, “communication” includes, without limitation, communications by whatever means transmitted (i.e., whether oral, written, electronic or other methods used), as well as any note, memorandum or other record thereof.

5. The terms “regarding, referring or relating to” and “concerning” mean reflecting, concerning, containing, pertaining, referring, relating to, indicating, showing, describing, evidencing, discussing, mentioning, embodying or computing.

6. Whenever the singular is used, it shall also be taken to include the plural, and vice versa. Whenever the conjunctive is used, it shall also be taken to include the disjunctive, and vice versa.

INSTRUCTIONS

The following instructions apply to each of the requests for documents set forth herein:

1. Please produce entire documents, including, but not limited to, attachments, enclosures, cover letters, memoranda, and appendices.

2. Pursuant to Rule 26(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, these requests for documents shall be deemed continuous up to and following the trial of this proceeding such that any documents or things requested herein which is either discovered by you or comes within your possession, custody or control subsequent to your initial responses hereto but prior to the final conclusion of this case should be produced in a supplemental response to these Document Requests immediately upon its discovery or receipt by you or your counsel.

3. If any document is withheld under a claim of privilege, in order that the Court and the parties may determine the validity of the claim of privilege, please provide a privilege log identifying each document withheld, including

- a. The type of document;
- b. The approximate date, and manner of recording, creating or otherwise preparing the document;
- c. The subject matter of the document;

- d. The name and organizational position of the person(s) who produced the document,
- e. The name and organizational position of the person(s) who received a copy of the document, or to whom the document was disclosed; and
- f. The claimed grounds on which the document is being withheld and facts sufficient to show the basis for each claim of privilege.

4. If you object to any part of a request for documents and refuse to produce documents responsive to that part, state your objection and respond to the remaining portion of that request. If you object to the scope or time period of a request for documents, state your objection and respond to the request for documents for the scope or time period you believe is appropriate.

5. Please produce all documents in the order in which they are kept in the ordinary course of business, and in their original file folders, binders, covers or containers, or facsimile thereof.

6. Any document bearing any changes, including, but not limited to, markings, handwritten notation, or other differences, that are not a part of the original text, or any reproduction thereof, is to be considered a separate document for purposes of responding to the following document requests. English translations of partial translations of foreign language documents should also be considered separate documents.

7. If a requested document is in a language other than English, please produce both the original and any existing English translation thereof.

8. If any of the following requests for documents cannot be responded to in full after exercising due diligence to secure the requested documents, please so state and respond to the extent possible, specifying your inability to respond to the remainder and stating whatever information you have regarding, referring or relating to the unanswered portions. If your response is qualified in any particular manner, set forth the details of such qualification.

9. Please produce hard copies of electronic records or produce computerized information in an intelligible format with a description of the system from which it was derived sufficient to permit rendering the materials intelligible.

DOCUMENT REQUESTS

Request No.1:

All documents regarding, referring or relating to Dr. Marios Papaefthymiou's teaching of a course titled "Parallel Algorithms and Architectures" in the Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department at Massachusetts Institute of Technology, including but not limited to, course syllabi, handouts, outlines, digests, lecture notes, presentations, computer code, and demonstrative software and hardware created for the course.

Request No. 2:

All documents regarding, referring or relating to Dr. Marios Papaefthymiou's teaching of a course titled "Advanced Algorithms" in the Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department at Massachusetts Institute of Technology, including but not limited to, course syllabi, handouts, outlines, digests, lecture notes, presentations, computer code, and demonstrative software and hardware created for the course.

Request No. 3:

All documents regarding, referring or relating to Dr. Marios Papaefthymiou's teaching of any course within the Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department, or any other Department, at Massachusetts Institute of Technology, including but not limited to, course syllabi, handouts, outlines, digests, lecture notes, presentations, computer code, and demonstrative software and hardware created for any such course.

Request No. 4:

All documents regarding, referring or relating to theses authored by Dr. Marios Papaefthymiou, including but not limited to, MIT Laboratory for Computer Science Technical Reports TR-605 and TR-486, and any other technical bulletins or reports authored by Dr. Papaefthymious while he was a student at Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

ATTACHMENT B

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11

12 SYNOPSYS, INC.,
13

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,
17

Defendant.

CASE NO. C03-02289-MJJ (EMC)

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

18
19 1. All Confidential Information produced or exchanged in the course of this litigation shall
20 be used solely for the purpose of preparation and trial of this litigation and for no other purpose
21 whatsoever, and shall not be disclosed to any person except in accordance with the terms hereof.

22 2. "Confidential Information," as used herein, means any information of any type, kind or
23 character that is designated as "Confidential" by any of the supplying or receiving parties, whether it be
24 a document, information contained in a document, information revealed during a deposition,
25 information revealed in an interrogatory answer or otherwise. In designating information as
26 "Confidential," a party will make such designation only as to that information that it in good faith
27 believes contains "Confidential Information."

28 3. (a) "Confidential Information" includes, but is not limited to, (i) proprietary technical

1
2
information and specifications, (ii) trade secrets (iii) confidential know-how, and (iv) proprietary
3
business and financial information and any other non-public information, the disclosure of which is
4
likely to have the effect of causing significant competitive harm to the disclosing party or party from
which the information was obtained. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to limit the
description of "Confidential Information" set forth in paragraph 2.

8 (b) Nothing shall be regarded as "Confidential Information" if it is information that:
9 (i) is in the public domain at the time of disclosure, as evidenced by a written document;
10 (ii) becomes part of the public domain through no fault of the other party, as evidenced
11 by a written document;
12 (iii) was in the receiving party's rightful and lawful possession at the time of disclosure,
13 as evidenced by a written document; or
14 (iv) is lawfully received by the receiving party from a third party at a later date without
15 restriction as to disclosure, provided such third party has the right to make the disclosure to the
16 receiving party.
17

18 4. "Qualified Persons," as used herein means:
19 (a) To the Court and its officers and staff, including court reporters;
20 (b) Outside attorneys of record for the parties in this litigation and employees of such
attorneys to whom it is necessary that the material be shown for purposes of this litigation;
22 (c) Outside experts, consultants, advisors or investigators (collectively referred to
23 hereafter as "experts") who have signed an undertaking pursuant to paragraph 5 but only after
24 compliance with the provisions of paragraph 5 below;
25 (d) To non-party support services including, but not limited to, court reporters, outside
26 copy services, document imaging and database services, design services who have signed confidentiality
27 agreements, jury consultants who have signed confidentiality agreements, mock jurors who have signed
28 confidentiality agreements, and language translators who have signed confidentiality agreements

1
2
(including support staff) as may be reasonably necessary in connection with the preparation or conduct
3
of this action;
4

5 (e) Anyone to whom the parties consent in writing; and

6 (f) If this Court so elects, any other person may be designated as a Qualified Person by
order of this Court, after notice and opportunity to be heard to all parties.

8 5. Prior to the disclosure of any "Confidential Information" to any expert under Paragraph
9 4(c), counsel for the Party seeking to make the disclosure shall: (i) deliver a copy of this Protective
10 Order as entered to such person, explain its terms to such person, and secure the signature of such
11 person on a written undertaking in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, and (ii) transmit by facsimile
12 and mail to counsel for the other Parties a copy of the signed Exhibit A, accompanied by a curriculum
13 vitae, at least ten (10) calendar days before any "Confidential Information" designated under this
14 Protective Order is to be disclosed to the signator. The curriculum vitae should identify the general
15 area(s) of expertise of the expert, provide a brief job history, specify all employment, expert or
16 consulting engagements by the expert within the past five (5) years, and state all present or prior
17 relationships between the expert and any entity directly or indirectly involved in this litigation or
18 providing an indemnity to any such entity, its subsidiaries or its affiliates. Any Party may object to the
19 proposed disclosure to an expert within the ten (10) calendar day period following the transmittal of
20 Exhibit A and the curriculum vitae, by stating specifically in writing the reasons why the Party believes
21 such expert should not receive designated "Confidential Information." If during that ten (10) calendar
22 day period a Party makes such a written objection, there shall be no disclosure of "Confidential
23 Information" to the expert absent mutual agreement of the Parties, waiver of the objection as stated
24 below, or further order of the Court. After a Party objects to the proposed disclosure to an expert, the
25 objecting Party shall move, by noticed motion or by ex parte application, for an order that disclosure not
26 be made to such expert within five (5) business days following the date that the objection is made, or the
27 Party's objection shall be deemed waived and disclosure may be made to the expert. The burden shall
28

1
2
3 be on the objecting Party to establish why the disclosure should not be made. Each Party shall maintain
4 a file of all such signed copies of Exhibit A. However, it shall not be necessary for administrative,
5 secretarial or clerical personnel working for such Qualified Person to sign a written undertaking.

6 6. (a) Documents produced in this action may be designated by any party or parties as
7 "Confidential" by marking each page of the document(s) with the designation "Confidential."

8 (b) In lieu of marking the original of a document, if the original is not produced, the
9 designating party may mark the copies that are produced or exchanged. Originals shall be preserved for
10 inspection.

11 (c) If the document is not in paper form, the producing person or entity shall use other
12 such reasonable means as necessary to identify clearly the document or information as "Confidential."

13 7. Discovery responses or other litigation materials may be designated by any party or
14 parties as "Confidential" by marking each page of the response with the designation "Confidential."

15 8. The designation of information disclosed during a deposition as "Confidential" shall be
16 made either by a statement on the record at the deposition or within twenty (20) calendar days after
17 receipt by counsel of a copy of the deposition transcript. Such designation will be applied to only those
18 portions of the deposition transcript that include a specific question and response or series of questions
19 and responses containing "Confidential Information." The deposition transcript shall be printed in
20 consecutive pages (whether or not some pages are designated as "Confidential") with a marking on the
21 cover of the deposition transcript indicating the "Confidential" designation contained therein. Unless
22 previously designated otherwise, all deposition transcripts shall be treated as "Confidential" in their
23 entirety prior to the end of the twenty (20) calendar day period following receipt by counsel of a copy of
24 the deposition transcript.
25

26 9. "Confidential Information" shall not be disclosed or made available by the receiving
27 party to persons other than Qualified Persons except that nothing herein is intended to prevent
28 individuals who are in-house counsel or a member of the professional legal department of the Parties

1
2
3 from having access to pleadings, briefs and exhibits or declarations filed with the Court and expert
4 reports, including exhibits that are designated as "Confidential."

5 10. (a) Documents to be inspected shall be treated as "Confidential" although such
6 documents need not be marked as "Confidential" prior to inspection. At the time of copying for the
7 receiving parties, any documents containing "Confidential Information" shall be stamped prominently
8 "Confidential" by the producing party.

9 (b) Nothing herein shall prevent disclosure beyond the terms of this Order if each party
10 designating the information as "Confidential" consents to such disclosure or if the Court, after notice to
11 all effected parties, orders such disclosures. Nothing herein shall prevent any counsel of record from
12 utilizing "Confidential Information" in the examination or cross-examination of any person who is
13 indicated on the document as being an author, source or recipient of the "Confidential Information,"
14 irrespective of which party produced such information. Nothing herein shall prevent any counsel of
15 record from utilizing "Confidential Information" in the examination or cross-examination of any person
16 who is a current or former officer, director or employee of the party so designating the information as
17 "Confidential" or of the party that produced the information or of a related entity.
18

19 11. If a party inadvertently discloses any document or thing containing information that it
20 deems confidential without designating it as "Confidential," the disclosing party shall promptly upon
21 discovery of such inadvertent disclosure inform the receiving party in writing, and the receiving party
22 and all Qualified Persons possessing such information shall thereafter treat the information as
23 "Confidential" under this Order. To the extent such information may have been disclosed to persons
24 other than Qualified Persons described in this document, the receiving party shall make every
25 reasonable effort to retrieve the information promptly from such persons and to avoid any further
26 disclosure to and by such persons.
27

28 12. A party shall not be obligated to challenge the propriety of a designation as
"Confidential" at the time made, and a failure to do so shall not preclude a subsequent challenge thereto.

1
2
Nor will the failure to object be construed as an admission that any particular "Confidential
3
Information" contains or reflects currently valuable trade secrets or confidential commercial
4
information. In the event that any party to this litigation disagrees at any stage of these proceedings
with the designation by the designating party of any information as "Confidential," or the designation of
any person as a Qualified Person, the parties shall first try to resolve such dispute in good faith on an
informal basis, such as production of redacted copies. If the parties are unsuccessful in informally
resolving any disputes regarding the designation of any document or information as "Confidential," the
Court shall resolve all such disputes. It shall be the burden of the party making any designation to
establish that the information so designated is "Confidential" within the meaning of this Protective
Order. The "Confidential Information" that is the subject of the dispute shall be treated as originally
designated pending resolution of the dispute.

13. The parties may, by written stipulation filed and approved by the Court, amend this
Order, and any party may seek an order of this Court modifying this Protective Order. The parties agree
to meet and confer prior to seeking to modify this Protective Order. In addition, the Court may modify
this Protective Order in the interest of justice or otherwise at the Court's discretion.

14. In the event a party wishes to use any "Confidential Information" in any affidavits,
briefs, memoranda of law, or other papers filed with the Court in this litigation, such "Confidential
Information" used therein shall be filed under seal with the Court. In addition to placing documents in a
sealed envelope with instructions that the document is filed pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order
and that the envelope is not to be opened absent further order of the Court, the envelope should be
labeled to identify the title of the case, the case number, and the title of the document.

15. The Clerk of this Court is directed to maintain under seal all documents and transcripts of
deposition testimony and answers to interrogatories, admissions and other pleadings filed under seal
with the Court in this litigation that have been designated, in whole or in part, as "Confidential" by a
party to this action.

1
2
3 16. If a Party intends to offer into evidence or otherwise disclose in open court any
4 "Confidential Information" designated by another person or entity, counsel for such Party shall notify
the designating person or entity that the Party intends to disclose "Confidential Information" in open
court prior to the disclosure, so that the designating person or entity may confer with the Court
concerning appropriate procedures for protecting its "Confidential Information."

8 17. In the event any person or party that has possession, custody, or control of any
information designated as "Confidential" pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order receives a
subpoena or other process or order to produce such information, such person or party shall notify by
mail within five (5) business days of the Party's receipt of the request, the counsel for the party or
persons claiming confidential treatment of the documents sought by such subpoenas or other process or
order, shall furnish such counsel with a copy of said subpoena or other process or order, and shall
cooperate with respect to any procedure sought to be pursued by the party whose interests may be
affected. The party asserting the "Confidential" treatment shall have the burden of defending against
such subpoena, process or order. The person or party receiving the subpoena or process or order shall
be entitled to comply with it except: (a) to the extent the party asserting the "Confidential" treatment is
successful in obtaining an order modifying or quashing it; and (b) in complying with the process or
order shall, at a minimum, seek to obtain "Confidential" treatment of the "Confidential Information"
before producing it in the other proceeding or action.

22 18. If the discovery process calls for the production of information that a Party or Non-Party
does not wish to produce because the Party or Non-Party believes its disclosure would breach an
agreement with another person or entity to maintain such information in confidence, the disclosing Party
or Non-Party promptly shall give written notice to the other person or entity that its information is
subject to discovery in this litigation, and shall provide such person or entity with a copy of this
Protective Order. When such written notice is given to the person or entity, the disclosing Party or Non-
Party will advise the potential receiving Party that such notice has been given. The person or entity

1
2
3 whose information may be subject to discovery shall have ten (10) business days from receipt of the
4 written notice in which to seek relief from the Court, if the person or entity so desires. If the ten (10)
5 business days elapse without the person or entity seeking relief from the Court, the requested
information shall be produced in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order.

7 19. In the event that additional persons or entities become Parties, none of such Parties'
8 counsel, experts or consultants retained to assist said counsel, shall have access to "Confidential
9 Information" produced by or obtained from any other producing person or entity until said Party has
10 executed and filed with the Court its agreement to be fully bound by this Protective Order.

11 20. This Protective Order shall apply to the parties and any non-party from whom discovery
12 may be sought and who desires protection for the discovery sought. Thus, any non-party requested or
13 required to produce or disclose information in this proceeding, through subpoena or otherwise, may
14 designate such information pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order.

15 21. (a) Nothing herein requires disclosure of information, documents or things which the
16 disclosing entity contends is protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege or the work-
17 product exception. Nothing herein shall preclude any party from moving this Court for an order
18 directing the disclosure of such information, documents or things.

20 (b) In the event that any privileged attorney-client or work product documents or things
21 are inadvertently produced for inspection and/or provided, the disclosing party shall identify such
22 documents or things within five (5) days of when it discovers that the privileged materials were
23 inadvertently produced for inspection and/or provided, and either (1) copies shall not be provided, or (2)
24 if copies have already been provided, all copies in the receiving party's possession shall be promptly
25 returned (and not relied upon) by the receiving party. Nothing in this paragraph shall prevent the
26 receiving party from contending that the identified materials are not privileged, that the material was not
27 inadvertently produced, or that privilege was waived for reasons other than mere inadvertent production
28 of the material.

1
2
3 22. Within ninety (90) days after conclusion of this litigation and any and all appeals thereof,
4 any document and all reproductions of "Confidential" documents produced by a party that are in the
5 possession of any Qualified Person shall be returned to the producing party or, with the consent of the
6 producing party, destroyed. If destroyed, counsel for the receiving party shall certify to counsel for the
7 producing party compliance with this paragraph within fourteen (14) calendar days of such destruction.
8 Outside counsel for each party may maintain in its files one copy of all material produced as well as all
9 material filed with or otherwise presented to the Court, deposition and trial transcripts, and work
10 product (regardless of whether such materials contain or refer to "Confidential" materials). If counsel
11 retains such materials, the materials which contain Confidential Information shall be accessible only by
12 Qualified Persons defined in paragraph 4(b) above. As far as the provisions of any protective orders
13 entered in this action restrict the communication and use of the documents produced thereunder, such
14 orders shall continue to be binding after the conclusion of this litigation including any subsequent
15 appeals or later proceedings, except that (a) there shall be no restriction on documents that are used as
16 exhibits in Court unless such exhibits were filed under seal, and (b) a party may seek the written
17 permission of the producing party or order of the Court with respect to dissolution or modification of
18 such protective orders. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the performance of said obligations.

20
21 23. (a) At the election of the Producing Party, a Receiving Party's access to a Producing
22 Party's discoverable source code may be limited to inspection of the code at a secured facility provided
23 by the Producing Party. Such inspection may be conducted only by persons identified in advance by the
24 Receiving Party on a list of "Qualified Inspecting Personnel" which may include:

- 25 (1) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of record in this action; and
26 (2) up to three Experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to
27 whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the
28 "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order (Exhibit A) and who have been approved
pursuant to the "Procedures for Approving Disclosure of 'CONFIDENTIAL' information

1
2 of Items to 'Experts'" as set forth in paragraph 5.

3 (b) The following provisions relate to Synopsys' provision of access to the Source
Code for versions of its commercial products:

4 (1) Synopsys will make available a closed room at its facility in Bethesda,
5 Maryland for use by Ricoh's Qualified Inspecting Personnel. The room will be set aside
6 for the exclusive use of Ricoh's Qualified Inspecting Personnel and will not be used by
7 Synopsys or any other party when Ricoh's Qualified Inspecting Personnel are not present
8 The room will be available for a minimum of twelve weeks. After twelve weeks, and
9 after consultation with Ricoh, Synopsys may close the facility pursuant to the procedures
10 described in paragraph 4 below. If the facility is closed, Synopsys agrees to make the
11 source code available for inspection under similar procedures at another date prior to the
12 close of expert discovery.

13 (2) Synopsys will equip the closed room with a private phone if a phone jack
14 is already available in the room, a stand-alone, non-networked, computer and high-speed
15 printer. The computer will be loaded with copies of the source code to be produced and
16 utilities required to review the code. The source code shall include the code which is
17 used by Synopsys and no notes, comments, or any segments shall be removed before
18 being made available. The computer will be equipped with the text editors available in a
standard Unix distribution, suitable for use in editing the source code. Synopsys will
assist Ricoh in loading software that Ricoh may require for analysis of the source code.
The computer shall also be loaded with a complete distribution of the Synopsys software
that is fully operable and executable.

19 (3) Ricoh may print copies of a reasonable subset of the source code for the
20 Synopsys products at issue. Any printing done at the secure facility will be done
21 exclusively on paper supplied by Synopsys. Synopsys may elect to place preprinted
22 confidential designations on the margins of the paper. Ricoh's Qualified Inspecting
23 Personnel are not to bring blank paper into the closed room except for the purpose of
24 making handwritten notes. Synopsys will initially supply Ricoh with 5,000 pages of
25 paper for use with the printer. This figure is based on the estimate that 5,000 pages
26 should be sufficient to print approximately 5% of the source code for Design Compiler. If
27 at any time, Ricoh believes that additional printing and paper is required, Ricoh may
28 submit additional requests for paper to Synopsys with a general statement of the basis of
the request. Synopsys will respond within one week to any such request. If the parties

1
2
3 are unable to come to agreement after conferral, the matter may be presented to the
4 Court. In evaluating requests for paper, the relevant standard to be applied is that Ricoh
5 should be allowed to print hardcopies of a reasonable subset of the Synopsys source code
6 and that what is reasonable shall be evaluated in light of relevance of the code to Ricoh's
7 allegations and Synopsys' interest in preventing release in hardcopy of more than a
8 fraction of its source code.

9 (4) Ricoh will be permitted to send individuals from the list of Qualified
10 Inspecting Personnel to participate in and/or witness the closing of the secured facility.
11 Before closing of the facility, Ricoh's representatives may provide a list of procedures
12 that they wish to perform to ensure that any electronic record of their use of the machine
13 has been erased.

14 (c) The Receiving Party will provide the Producing Party with a copy of its list of
15 "Qualified Inspecting Personnel" no later than 5 business days before any person on the list attempts to
16 access the secured facility. The Receiving Party may revise the list to add or remove individuals,
17 provided that no more than a total of three Experts are ever provided with access to the source code
18 during the entire course of the litigation absent an agreement by the parties or a Court Order to expand
19 this number.

20 (d) Any notes taken or any other information created by Outside Counsel or the
21 experts of the Receiving Party at or based on any inspection of the source code shall be treated as
22 "CONFIDENTIAL" under this Protective Order.

23 24. This Order shall not bar any attorney herein in the course of rendering advice to his client
24 with respect to this litigation from conveying to any party client his evaluation in a general way of
25 "Confidential Information" produced or exchanged herein; provided, however, that in rendering such
26 advice and otherwise communicating with his client, the attorney shall not disclose the specific contents
27 of any "Confidential Information" produced by another party herein, which disclosure would be contrary
28 to the terms of this Protective Order.

25 25. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this order for six (6) months
26 after the final termination of this action.

27 Dated: March 23, 2004

HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP

28
/s/ Christopher L. Kelley
Teresa M. Corbin, Esq.

1
2
3 Christopher Kelley, Esq.
4 Erik K. Moller, Esq.
5 Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC.
6 301 Ravenswood Avenue
7 Menlo Park, CA 94025
8 Telephone: (650) 463-8100
9 Facsimile: (650) 463-8400
10
11 Dated: March 23, 2004
12 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY, LLP
13
14 /s/ Kenneth W. Brothers
15 Kenneth W. Brothers, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
16 Attorneys for Defendant RICOH COMPANY, LTD.
17 2101 L Street NW
18 Washington, DC 20037
19 Telephone: (202) 785-9700
20 Facsimile: (202) 887-0689
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

SO ORDERED this 24th day of March, 2004.

/s/
Magistrate Judge Edward M. Chen

Brothers, Kenneth

From: Andelman, Ethan [AndelmanE@Howrey.com]
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2006 8:28 PM
To: Brothers, Kenneth; DeMory, Denise; Fink, Jacky; Su, Henry
Subject: RE: 08.14.06 De Mory Ltr to Brothers re Papaefthymiou Documents

Ken --

Your objections are duly noted (though baseless). You have no standing to object to the issuance of these third-party subpoenas, and we will not withdraw them. There is no need for any motion at this time; your sole remedy is to object to the introduction into evidence of any materials obtained, which can (and should) be done through a motion in limine. For this reason, and the fact that this week is crammed with tasks in this case, we will not be available to meet and confer on this issue until next Monday.

--Ethan

From: Brothers, Kenneth [mailto:BrothersK@dicksteinshapiro.com]
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2006 3:35 PM
To: DeMory, Denise; Andelman, Ethan; Fink, Jacky; Su, Henry
Subject: RE: 08.14.06 De Mory Ltr to Brothers re Papaefthymiou Documents

Denise, Ethan, Jacky and Henry:

We object to the issuance of these subpoenas. They are untimely, especially since you made specific requests regarding Dr. Papaefthymiou's documents prior to the deposition, and we provided you with all documents in his possession, and you have completed the deposition of Dr. Papaefthymiou. We note that you have refused to produce similar documents relating to defendants' experts. We object to your attempt to extend discovery and create more delays. These subpoenas should be withdrawn immediately. If you decline to do so, please advise when one of you will be available for an immediate meet and confer so we can promptly present the issue to Judge Chen.

Regards, Ken

Ken Brothers
Dickstein Shapiro LLP

From: Kasenenko, Peter [mailto:KasenenkoP@Howrey.com]
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2006 3:04 PM
To: Allen, DeAnna; McCandless, Douglas; Meilman, Edward; Oliver, Eric; Hoffman, Gary; Jeffrey Demain, Esq.; Brothers, Kenneth; Weinstein, Michael; Barbisch, Rebecca; Seyoum, Solomon
Subject: 08.14.06 De Mory Ltr to Brothers re Papaefthymiou Documents

Please see the attached.

<<08.14.06 De Mory Ltr to Brothers re Papaefthymiou Docs.pdf>>

Peter L. Kasenenko
Secretary to Denise M. De Mory

8/15/2006

Rick C. Chang, and Jaclyn C. Fink

HOWREY LLP

525 Market Street, Suite 3600

San Francisco, CA 94105

Direct Dial: 415.848.4976

Facsimile: 415.848.4999

E-mail: KasenenkoP@Howrey.com

This email and any attachments contain information from the law firm of Howrey LLP, which may be confidential. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this email.

If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this email is strictly prohibited. If you receive this email in error, please notify us by reply email immediately so that we can arrange for its removal.

This e-mail message and any attached files are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) named above. This communication may contain material protected by attorney-client, work product, or other privileges. If you are not the intended recipient or person responsible for delivering this confidential communication to the intended recipient, you have received this communication in error, and any review, use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, copying, or other distribution of this e-mail message and any attached files is strictly prohibited. Dickstein Shapiro reserves the right to monitor any communication that is created, received, or sent on its network. If you have received this confidential communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail message and permanently delete the original message.

To reply to our email administrator directly, send an email to postmaster@dicksteinshapiro.com

Dickstein Shapiro LLP

<http://www.DicksteinShapiro.com>

=====

8/15/2006